Acuscope / Myopulse and Electro-EquiScope Protocol Comparison

Total Cost

		Ac	uScope / I	Myopulse	Electro-Equiscope			
Ailment	Sessions	Time	Cost	Outcome	Sessions	Time	Cost	Outcome
Laminitis/ Abscess	36	3 mo	\$1980	Improved soundness following severe lameness and abscess drainage. Lameness returned when we stopped protocols Unable to maintain feedback, consistently dropped.	12	1 month	\$1800	Went from a level 3 to 4 lameness to a 1 to 2 lameness. Improved endurance in workouts. Feedback at 115 consistent from the first session on.
Torn suspensory on hind legs	36+	3 mo	\$1980	Small visual improvement in lameness however not significant enough. Animal was scheduled for surgery.	12	8 days 1 month	\$750 \$1800	During the appointment to map for surgery to resolve the issue, the horse did not present enough lameness to proceed. Vet prescribed additional 12 protocols and the horse was back to full work at the time of recheck saving the owner 18 months of down time and significant money.
Stifle and Lymphatic on Cushing Mare	36	3 mo	\$1980	Observed some reduction in swelling after lymphatic flush and some	12	1 month	\$1800	Observed complete and sustained reduction in swelling after 3 protocols

		improvement is swollen glands. Continued to have to wrap with ice to keep swelling down on legs. Work effort was adjusted to manage stifle pain.				without ice wraps. Swollen glands disappeared. After 12 protocols the stifle issue was unnoticeable for 8 months until being triggered with work.
			12	1 month	\$1800	Additional protocols to address aggravated chronic stifle issues. Drastic improvement by 3rd session.

In Summary: We have been able to achieve greater and faster results with the Electro-Equiscope versus the AcuScope / Myopulse. We don't believe we would have ever been able to obtain these outcomes with AcuScope Myopulse and it would have been necessary to explore other options such as surgery, continued ice wraps, or medications. We observed that the Electro-Equiscope was able to accomplish much more and the results were within 3 protocols. The initial cost indicates that the AcuScope / Myopulse is less expensive however it requires 3x the protocol, 3x the downtime for the animal and comes out more expensive. These are all extreme cases and in simpler or acute cases we have observed that AcuScope / Myopulse is effective; however, Electro-Equiscope is still able to produce the same or better results on average ½ of the time. For example, for an acute muscle tear or pull we have seen 3 - 12 Equiscope protocols as effective as 12 - 36 AcuScope / Myopulse protocols.

If up front cost is a concern and a client needs to spread out the healing process then AcuScope / Myopulse may be a good fit.

If total cost is a concern and clients need quick results then Electro-Equiscope is a better fit.